Land Use, Water Quality, and Carbon in the Southern Appalachians

Paul Bolstad<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Kirk<sup>1</sup>, Mark Riedel<sup>2</sup>, James Vose<sup>2</sup>, Dave Wear<sup>2</sup> 3Ted Gragson, 3David Leigh, 3Ned Gardiner, 4Mark Scott

1University of Minnesota, 2USFS Southern Forest Research Station 3University of Georgia 4South Carolina Department of Natural Resources

#### Southern Appalachian Study Region



# **Objectives**

- Quantify the impacts of past and present land use on water quality and carbon in southeastern uplands
- **I** Identify appropriate approaches and scale for water quality and C models
- **Evaluate image data for conditioning** models
- **Develop/Evaluate models of land use** choice

#### Native Land Use, circa 1721



#### Sediment History and Sediment Budgets

Sediment Source: Terrestrial inputs, bank erosion, bedload legacy



Measurements: Bank erosion, bed transport, surface inputs, water column transport, reservoir dredging records

## Quantify the Impacts Land Use on Water Quality

#### Field Component

- **Establish baseline** conditions – lightly disturbed watersheds
- **E** Quantify extent and intensity of disturbance
- **Identify disturbance** effects on water quality and important biotic indicators



## Water Quality Field Sites and **Measurements**

•Sampling in three 5<sup>th</sup>/6<sup>th</sup>-order watersheds

•various sub-watersheds (2nd/3rd order)

•Land Use (aerial photo/satellite time series, 1904 – 2002)

•Road and building density from combined field survey and photographs

•Stream sampling (physical, chemical, biotic variables)

•Terrestrial sampling (land cover, land use, road characteristics, sediment generation and transport)



## Land Use Characterization

All dates terrain-corrected, hierarchical classification collapsed or expanded on NLCD categories

#### Multi-temporal

- 1904 Ayers/Ashe Inventory
- 1953-54 Aerial photomosaic
- 1974, 1982, 1991 Landsat MSS
- 1992, 2002, 2003 Landsat TM, ETM+
- 2003 SPOT XS 10m, P-2.5 m
- 2003 Ikonos

1904 Inventory



Subset of Study Watersheds, 1953 and 2003

Road location, surface type (paved, gravel, unimproved)

Drainage structures

Detailed forest density classes

Building locations

#### Land Use Change

- 1. Road re-alignment and addition
- 2. Forestry to residential conversion
- 3. Row crop to pasture or forest

1953 aerial photograph 2003 SPOT image









#### Watershed Metrics from Spatial Data

Average watershed gradient, stream density, average stream gradient, stream sinuosity

Watershed and near-stream measures of proportion developed, road density by type, building density, road stream crossings

## Sediment - TSS

#### Stage and discharge

- 5 15 minute intervals
- **Flow validation Weekly, storm gauging**

#### Grab and Pumped Samples

- **Time and flow proportional baseline and** storm conditions
- **depth integrated weekly and storm** gauging

#### Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

- **Mineral Sediment Component (MSC)**
- **Crganic Sediment Component (OSC)**
- **Mass conservation: OSC = TSS MSC**







## TSS and Mineral Sediments



# TSS During Stormflow

#### Results: Non-forest land use of < 5% area affects water quality



## Hysteresis of TSS

#### Key finding - in disturbed watersheds, sediment inputs transport limited



## TSS vs. Mineral : Organic Ratio



## Sources of Streambed Sediments



## Road Usage Range









## Road Sediment Monitoring

- Overland flow samplers
	- 13 transects Road edge to stream or infiltration
	- 4 or 5 samplers each
	- Sampled on an event basis
	- $\bullet$  09/2001 01/2002 (drought)
	- TSS gravimetric to 1.5 µm
- Rainfall
	- Rain gauges installed in proximity to sites



## Sediment Amounts, Unpaved Road Usage



Road Usage Intensity

- Spectral likelihood, pixel mixing methods
- **Texture, linear** feature extractions **- Gradient Detection**
- and Profile Analysis

## Methods Road Extraction



# Key Results - Land use and Water Quality

- Water quality is controlled primarily by near-<br>stream road density and type
- **Water quality can be substantially harmed by** human disturbance over a small portion of the watershed
- Close, move, or pave the roads to protect water quality
- **EXTEE** Little success in automated detection of roads, primarily due to unpaved, narrow, sub-canopy roads

#### Aquatic Sampling



Vertebrates

Substrate, channel morphology

Water Quality

#### Stream Chemistry by Watershed Land Use Category

(concentrations in mg/l)



#### (source: Gardener et al., submitted)





**Example 2 Cations, stream nitrogen show significant effects** of present land use type

**Fish communities are structured both by current** road density and by past (50 year) land uses. Mountain endemics replaced by generalists along the development gradient

**Invertebrate communities show similar changes,** with a reduction in EPT taxa.

#### Models of Sediment Generation RUSLE  $E = R K LS CP$









#### (image source: Mitasova, skagit/meas.ncsu.edu

#### Model Findings: results at measured watersheds similar to those for region



### Grain Size and Model Performance

DEM resolution @ model grid resolution



DEM resolution (pixels/ha) x Grid resolution (pixels/ha)

## Key Findings - Water

- **EXAMP Water quality, fish, and invertebrate communities are<br>
altered at very low amounts of land use change** primarily because of near-stream unpaved road density
- **Stream chemistry is affected, but still quite good** during baseflow, and except for sediment, also during stormflow
- **Nodels of sediment yield and measurements of** stream turbidity correlate best at 5 to 10 meter spatial grain - we need to push up the sampling
- **Spectral data alone appear insufficient to identify new** roads

## Land cover Transitions and Carbon



#### Time Series Conditioned C Model



Forest since 1904

### Apply Generalized Relationships to Specific Environments and Trajectories



Challenges: Efficient, accurate methods for estimating attributes that are unsampled in time or space





Challenges: How do we quantify the change in state or response relationships?







#### Key Results, Carbon -

Carbon storage in the southern Appalachians is dominated by the age structure of the forest - changes in soil carbon were and are minor

High productivity and early abandonment means these forests a diminishing sink in the next 50 years