### New Ground-based Environmental Remote Sensing Stations, Aircraft and Ship-borne Campaigns and Satellite Products in Southeast

"You can't manage what you can't measure." - Peter Druker





Asia

*Ronald Macatangay*

*Researcher – Atmospheric Science (National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand, Chiang Mai, Thailand) Adjunct Professor (Institute of Environmental Science and Meteorology, University of the Philippines Diliman) (ronmcdo@gmail.com)*

*Yunhui Zheng, Thiranan Sonkaew, Gerry Bagtasa, Raman Solanki, Sherin Hasan Bran, Vichawan Sakulsipich, Voltaire Velazco, Isamu Morino, David Griffiths, Florian Schwandner, Lola Andres Hernandez, John Burrows, Kim Oanh, Mylene Cayetano, Edgar Vallar, Ma. Cecilia Galvez, Gemma Narisma and the CAMP2EX Team, Olive Cabrera and the YMC Team, and Maki Kikuchi and the JAXA Himawari Team*



## NARIT mini-Micropulse LiDAR ("Phoon" = "Dust")



## LiDAR Signals



### Normalized Relative Backscatter (NRB), Boundary Layer Heights, Depolarization Ratio and Extinction Coefficient



April 2017



### Evaluation of WRF's YSU PBL Scheme Using LiDAR Derived Mixing Heights

- WRF v. 3.7 (9 km, 3 km and 1 km nested domains)
- 0.25 deg NCEP GDAS/FNL lateral boundary conditions
- MODIS Land Use Dataset
- 3-Category Urban Canopy Model (roof, wall, road)
- Yonsei University (YSU) PBL Scheme
- Thompson Aerosol-Aware Microphysics (2001-2007 GOCART Climatology)
- Kain-Fritsch Convective Parameterization



### Evaluation of WRF's YSU PBL Scheme Using LiDAR Derived Mixing Heights



FIGURE 11 Schematic illustration of mountain induced exchange processes between the convective boundary layer and the overlying atmosphere. E, entrainment; AV, advective venting; MV, mountain venting; and MCV, mountain-cloud venting. Vectors indicate airflow while c(z) and  $\theta(z)$  indicate vertical profiles of pollutant concentration and potential temperature, respectively. The dotted and dashed line indicate the top of the aerosol layer (AL) and the CBL, respectively (after Kossmann et al., 1999; De Wekker, 2002; De Wekker et al., 2004).

#### Figure from De Wekker and Kossmann, 2015

## The Next NARIT LIDAR  $("Fon" = "Rain")$

**Ground Breaking Ceremony of the Regional Observatory for** 

the Public, Songkla (Southern Thailand), 23 September 2015













## Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON)



Figure courtesy of D. Feist, Max Planck Institute, Jena, Germany

## The Heart of TCCON





Ground-based Solar Absorption Measurements

### **Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectrometry**



 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  $6180 - 6260$  cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(1.597 - 1.618 \mu m)$  $6297 - 6382$  cm<sup>-1</sup>  $(1.567 - 1.588 \mu m)$ 

Other trace gases retrieved simultaneously:

CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, HF<sub>1</sub>  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>n</sub> HDO$ 

11000

 $0.9$ 

Wavenumber [cm-1]

Wavelength [um]

### From Spectra to Column Abundances**Retrieval / Inverse Methods**



# TCCON Philippines



Figure from V. Velazco et. al, 2017

## Why TCCON Philippines?



Figure from V. Velazco et. al, 2017

### TCCON Philippines Footprint



Figure from V. Velazco et. al, 2017

## **TCCON Philippines** Applications













Figure from V. Velazco et. al, 2017

## **TCCON Philippines** Calibration



# **HIPPO-II Overpass**







## HALO-EMeRGe Phase II: Asia (March 2018)

HIGH ALTITUDE AND LONG RANGE RESEARCH AIRCRAFT



Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt; German Aerospace Center

Effect of Megacities on the Tranport and Transformation of Pollutants on the Regional and Global Scales



NOTE: National boundaries are darived from the population grids and thus.

 $1,000 +$ 



**Bangkok** 





#### Calibration of TCCON FTSs in Japanese **GOSAT Philippines** sites and and validation

Isamu Morino, NIES, morino@nies.go.jp Calibration of TCCON FTSs at Rikubetsu, Tsukuba, Saga Japan and Burgos in Philippines in (https://tccon-wiki.caltech.edu) will be made using the HALO aircraft data. Then we also compare the GOSAT data (http://www.gosat.nies.go.jp/en/) with them.



Publication: Wunch et al., AMT, 3, 1351-1362, 2010; Yoshida et al., AMT, 6, 1533-1547, 2013; Inoue et al., AMT, 9,3491-3512,2016; Eric et al., Remote Sens., 8, 414, 2016, correction, 8, 982, 2016.

#### **Objective during EMeRGe:**

Calibration of TCCON FTSs and validation of the GOSAT data.

### **Deliverable to EMeRGe:**

TCCON data, profile data of liadrs, GOSAT data upon request and agreement by data owners.

### **Synergy with EMeRGe:**

Data set from EMeRGe, ground-based and satellite measurements would be useful for evaluating model simulation



## **TCCON Calibration Curve**



Figure from Wunch et. al, 2010

https://tccon-wiki.caltech.edu/Network\_Policy/Data\_Use\_Policy

### Role of Southeast Asia in HALO-EMeRGe (EMeRGe International)



### Plume Tracer Release and VOC Cannister Sampling





#### Figure from Ren et. al, 2015

### Plume Tracer Release and VOC Cannister Sampling



Figure from Ren et. al, 2015

### Cloud and Aerosol Monsoonal Processes-Philippines Experiment  $(CAMP^2Ex)$

A proposed joint US-Philippine airborne mission to study aerosol and land use impacts on monsoonal precipitation during late summer 2018

US Participants in the Study Team:

Larry Di Girolamo, Robert Holz, Jeffrey Reid, Simone Tanelli, and Sue van den Heaver

**Philippine Participants in the Study Team:** Gemma Narsma and James Simpas



### **YEARS OF THE MARITIME CONTINENT**  $(July 2017 - July 2019)$

Observing the weather-climate system of the Earth's largest archipelago to improve understanding and prediction of its local variability and global impact



### Himawari-8 Aerosol Optical Thickness Product



Derived from Himawari-8/9 visible and near-infrared data. It provides information on aerosol optical thickness at 500 nm and the Angstrom index (a metric of aerosol particle size) for areas over oceans during the daytime and on aerosol optical thickness over land. The algorithm references a look-up table with values calculated on the basis of an assumed spheroid-particle aerosol model.

### Thank you!

### Topographic vs. Atmospheric LiDAR



### Topographic vs. Atmospheric LiDAR



### Types of Atmospheric LiDAR Systems



Figure from the DLSU Environment and Remote Sensing Research Group (EARTH) <https://sites.google.com/a/dlsu.edu.ph/earth/home>

### Components of an Atmospheric LiDAR System



### **Transmitting System Receiving System**

Figure from the DLSU Environment and Remote Sensing Research Group (EARTH) <https://sites.google.com/a/dlsu.edu.ph/earth/home>

### Commercial Mie LiDAR Systems (Single Wavelength = 532 nm)



### **Micropulse LiDAR Mini-Micropulse LiDAR**

Images from SigmaSpace <http://www.micropulselidar.com/>

### Applications

**Clouds**

**Aerosols**

**Microphysics**

**Volcanic Ash Dust Pollution Boundary Layer**

### Evaluation of WRF's YSU PBL Scheme Using LiDAR Derived Mixing Heights



FIGURE 2 | Idealized vertical structure of the lower troposphere under daytime convective conditions over flat and homogeneous terrain, subdivided into the surface layer (SL), the mixed layer (ML), the entrainment zone (EZ), and the free troposphere (FT). The vertical profiles represent wind velocity  $u(z)$ , specific humidity  $q(z)$ , potential temperature  $\theta(z)$ , air pollutant concentration  $c(z)$ , vertical turbulent sensible heat flux  $H(z)$ , standard deviation of turbulent vertical velocity fluctuations  $\sigma_w(z)$ , and backscatter signal intensities  $B_S(z)$  from a sodar and  $B_I(z)$  from a lidar.  $z_S$  is the terrain height while  $z_I$  and  $z_I$  are the depth and the height of the CBL, respectively.

Figure from De Wekker and Kossmann, 2015